Case 1.07-cv-04160-ARH Document	Filed 03/20/2007 Page 1 01 11	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)	
NICHOLAS DELUCA AND ELIZABETH DELUCA	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT	
- against -		
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		
Defendants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.		
NOTICE OF ADOPTION		
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant $Paintiff(s)$ as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual $Paintiff(s)$, which are listed below. These are marked with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant $Paintiff(s)$, and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.		
Plaintiffs, NICHOLAS DELUCA AND ELIZABETH DELUCA, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:		
I. PARTIES		
A. PLAINTIFF(S)		
1. Plaintiff, NICHOLAS DELUCA (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual		

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

and a citizen of New York residing at 250 Tammany Hall Rd., Carmel, NY 105120000.

2.

Alternatively, \square _____ of Decedent

_____, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of ______.

Ca	se 1:07-cv-04180-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11	
3. York residing the Injured Pl	g at 250 Tammany Hall Rd., Carmel, NY laintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I NICHOLAS DELUCA, and be the injuries sustained by her hu	nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 7 105120000, and has the following relationship to therein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff trings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff NICHOLAS DELUCA. Other:	
4. Department (In the period from 9/13/2001 to 1/1/20 NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York Police	
i	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
Location(s) (a From on or al	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout _9/13/2001_ until _10/1/2001_; ly _16_ hours per day; for	☐ The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
Approximate ======	ly _14_ days total. York City Medical Examiner's Office	✓ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured	
From on or al Approximate	bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
☐ The Fresh From on or al Approximate Approximate	Kills Landfill bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about 10/1/2001 until _1/1/2002_; Approximately _16_ hours per day; for Approximately _60_ days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:pier_	
		pper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ringested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates the site(s) indicated above;		
✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. P 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilized U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subseque by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Tsafety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. P 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Safety Saf	Injured	
 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabiliz U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subseque by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Page 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization System Stab	V	(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
 by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. P 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization. 		aim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 0101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilize		aim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn l-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation l System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is le.
further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.		aim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 0101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any all action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. **DEFENDANT(S)**

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	l <u> </u>
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☐ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
L / WORLD INADL COMIANI, L.I.	LEVANDEN VIKUNIVIEN I AL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \square RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04180-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☐ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☐; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☑ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:			
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	\	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
▼	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
▼	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04180-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 2/1/2003 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/1/2003 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Other Injury: Skin Rash; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged. 2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:			
~	Pain and suffering		✓ Other: Not yet determined

✓ Mental anguish
 ✓ Disability
 ✓ Medical monitoring

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Loss of the enjoyment of life

earning capacity

retirement benefits

rehabilitation

Other:

Loss of earnings and/or impairment of

Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 2, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Nicholas Deluca and Elizabeth

Deluca

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
March 2, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK NICHOLAS DELUCA (AND WIFE, ELIZABETH DELUCA), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at Μ. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP